Feedback on conclusions: Human Geography

Conclusion 1
This conclusion is too long, a little repetitive, and bordering on over-sophistication; but it is pretty effective, showing a decisive identification of the forces behind urban social polarization, as well as expanding the question to take in both developing and developed world cities, and with a topic nod into the bargain. This is not perfect, but it is a pretty strong summary of the argument and statement of intent. With a little revision it would be a striking summation.

Conclusion 2
This is a very strong statement, but rather effectively written, with a decisive direction to the reader to accept the argument of the essay, analytical precision ('marginalization, securitization and polarization'). It is, arguably, overstated and overwritten, with a tendency towards overlengthy sentences (the last sentence in particular needs some commas to separate out the subclauses), but it has some nuance (the nod to international migration and the accompanying ironies in particular), and it achieves its aim of summing up the argument and speculating about the future very well.

Conclusion 3
This is a little mixed: punchy enough, with a strong conclusive commentary agreeing with the question’s identification of polarization; on the other hand, there is some sloppiness in the writing, and the final nod to the European conquest of North America is arguably taking this too far – it certainly detracts from the conclusions to some extent.